Framework Sustainability Checklist



A system of care.

Intentional design. Relational support. Multidimensional collaboration and coordination.

Every Student. Every day.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Framework Sustainability Checklist 1

I: Review of Evaluative Data 1

II. Framework Alignment Analysis 2

III: Organizational Sustainability 3

IV: Administrative Determination 4

Framework Sustainability Checklist

Purpose: This tool will guide the understanding of sustainable practice within the Collaborative Coordinated System of Care Framework. The checklist serves as a guide to assess the system and its sustainability and implementation.

I: Review of Evaluative Data

Rating Scale:
4- fully implemented and sustainable
3- partially implemented or not yet sustainable
2- not yet implemented or not sustainable
1- needs improvement or revision

Rating	Documentation
	Monthly Temperature Checks
	Quantitative student outcome data analysis
	Qualitative team feedback and reflection analysis
	Student intervention service delivery reviewed
	Data housing and holistic viewing analysis
	Staff and resource allocation review
	Collaboration review
	Coordinated support delivery review
	Relational practice is embedded
	Culturally relevant SEL program review
	Vision alignment review

Reflection:

II. Framework Alignment Analysis

Rating Scale:
4- fully aligned and sustainable
3- partially aligned or not yet sustainable
2- not yet aligned or not sustainable
1- needs improvement or revision

Rating	Alignment
	Framework goals and intended outcomes are being met.
	Student supports are coordinated and holistic.
	Academic, behavioral, and social-emotional supports are coordinated.
	Communication across departments is effective and collaborative.
	Decision-making is collaborative and holistic.
	Data is accessible and housed appropriately.
	Student needs are being addressed in a timely manner.
	Culturally responsive practices are embedded.
	Relational health is embedded and creates a sense of belonging.
	Continuous cycle of improvement is sustainable and timely.
	The district vision informs a culture of collaborative care.

Reflection:

III: Organizational Sustainability

Rating Scale:
4- fully aligned and sustainable
3- partially aligned or not yet sustainable
2- not yet aligned or not sustainable
1- needs improvement or revision

Rating	Sustainability
	Administrative commitment and support are visible.
	Staffing and resources are sufficient to support continued implementation.
	Financial resources are allocated for ongoing implementation and professional development.
	Data-housing and collection mechanisms are maintained.
	Ongoing professional development is sustainably planned.
	Policies and procedures are in place to support the framework.
	Collaborative and coordinated structures are integrated into regular operations.
	Continuous improvement and evaluation processes are in place.
	Long-term implementation responsibilities and needs are clearly defined..

Reflection:

IV: Administrative Determination

Upon review of all data and processes, the framework is determined to be:

- ☐ Framework is well established and sustainable
- ☐ Framework is functioning effectively, but sustainability has not yet been reached
- ☐ Framework is functioning, but needs target improvements
- ☐ Framework still requires structural development
- ☐ Significant revisions are necessary

Reflection/Action Steps:

_____

_____

_____